

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No 3:17-md-02801-JD

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

THE DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTION

**[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT OF
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO
DEFENDANTS PANASONIC
CORPORATION; PANASONIC
CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA;
SANYO ELECTRIC CO., LTD.; AND
SANYO NORTH AMERICA
CORPORATION**

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve the settlement between Plaintiffs Chip-Tech, Ltd.; Dependable Component Supply Corp.; eIQ Energy, Inc.; and Walker Component Group, Inc. (together, the “Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs” or “Plaintiffs”), individually and on behalf the Direct Purchaser Class (the “Class”), on the one hand, and Panasonic Corporation; Panasonic Corporation of North America; SANYO Electric Co., Ltd.; and SANYO North America Corporation (collectively, “Panasonic”), on the other, set forth in the Settlement Agreement dated February 19, 2020 (the “Settlement Agreement”). The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this Final Judgment approving the Settlement Agreement.

Master File No 3:17-md-02801-JD

Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment, which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to Panasonic in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Good cause appearing therefore:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties to the Settlement Agreement, including all members of the Class.

2. The Court incorporates in this Final Judgment the definitions of terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, MDL ECF No. 1300-2, Ex. E, as though they were fully set forth in this Final Judgment, except that the Court incorporates the definition of “Class” as defined in its preliminary approval order. MDL ECF No. 1340. As set forth in that order, Class means:

All persons (including individuals, companies, or other entities) that purchased Capacitors (including through controlled subsidiaries, agents, affiliates, or joint ventures) directly from any of the Defendants, their subsidiaries, agents, affiliates, or joint ventures from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2013 (the “Class Period”), and such persons are: (a) inside the United States and were billed or invoiced for capacitors by one or more Defendants during the Class Period (*i.e.*, where capacitors were “billed to” persons within the United States); or (b) outside the United States and were billed or invoiced for capacitors by one or more Defendants during the Class Period, where such capacitors were imported into the United States by one or more Defendants (*i.e.*, where the capacitors were “billed to” persons outside the United States but “shipped to” persons within the United States).

Excluded from the Class are: (1) Defendants (and their subsidiaries, agents, and affiliates); (2) shareholders holding more than 10% equity interest in Defendants; (3) each member of the Class that timely requests exclusion by “opting out”; (4) governmental entities; and (5) the judges and chambers staff in this case, including their immediate families.

3. The Court finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

4. The persons/entities identified in the “Summary of Entities Requesting Exclusion from Settlement with Panasonic,” attached as **Exhibit A**, have validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons and entities, and only such persons and entities, are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment to the extent they have requested

1 exclusion from the settlement relating to Panasonic. Such persons and entities shall not receive any of
2 the proceeds obtained through the Settlement Agreement to the extent they have requested exclusion
3 from the settlement relating to Panasonic.

4 5. This action is dismissed with prejudice as against Panasonic, each side to bear its own
5 costs and attorneys' fees except as provided by the Settlement Agreement and the Court's orders.

6 6. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from
7 commencing, prosecuting or continuing, either directly or indirectly, against the Releasees, in this or
8 any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the
9 future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Settlement
10 Agreement. MDL ECF No. 1340-2, Ex. E.

11 7. The Releasors hereby and forever release and discharge the Releasees with respect to
12 the Released Claims as defined in the Settlement Agreement. MDL ECF No. 1340-2, Ex. E.

13 8. Without affecting the finality of the Court's judgment in any way, the Court retains
14 continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the settlement and the Settlement Agreement, including all
15 future proceedings concerning the administration, interpretation, consummation, and enforcement of
16 the Settlement Agreement.

17 9. This document constitutes a final judgment and separate document for purposes of
18 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(a).

19 10. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil
20 Procedure, that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a Final Judgment, as
21 to the Plaintiffs, the Class, and Panasonic in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
22 Accordingly, the Court directs the Clerk to enter Judgment forthwith.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.
24

25
26 Dated: _____

27
28 _____
HON. JAMES DONATO
United States District Judge

Master File No 3:17-md-02801-JD
Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD

EXHIBIT A

Summary of Entities Requesting Exclusion from Settlement with Panasonic

Requesting Entity	Entity/Affiliate with Record of Transactions	Location
Blackberry Ltd.	Blackberry Corporation	Pleasanton, CA
Dell Technologies, Inc.	Dell Computer Corporation	Round Rock, TX
	EMC Corporation	Hopkinton, MA
	Wyse Technology	Round Rock, TX
Hon Hai/Foxconn/CTI	Hon Hai	Houston, TX
	NSG Technology / Foxconn	San Jose, CA
	Competition Team Ireland	San Jose, CA
Microsoft Mobile/Nokia	Microsoft Mobile	Redmond, WA
	Nokia	Naperville, IL
	Nokia	San Diego, CA
Plexus Corp. / Electronic Assembly Corp.	Electronic Assembly Corporation	Neenah, WI
	Plexus Corporation	Neenah, WI
	Plexus	Nampa, ID
	Plexus Corp	Appleton, WI
	Plexus Corp	Neenah, WI
	Plexus Services Corp - N	Neenah, WI
	Plexus Int Sales & Logistics	Neenah, WI